IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

S	
S	
§	
§	CIVIL ACTION NO.4:05-CV-055-Y
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this action brought by petitioner Eddie Arthur Cross Sr. under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, the Court has made an independent review of the following matters in the above-styled and numbered cause:

- 1. The pleadings and record;
- 2. The proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States magistrate judge filed on July 19, 2005; and
- 3. The petitioner's written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States magistrate judge filed on August 3, 2005.

The Court, after <u>de novo</u> review, concludes that Cross's objections must be overruled, and that the petition for writ of habeas corpus should be dismissed for lack of exhaustion for the reasons stated in the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions.

It is therefore ORDERED that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge should be, and are hereby, ADOPTED.

It is further ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be, and is hereby, DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, except as to any application of the federal statute of limitations or other federal procedural bar that may apply.¹

SIGNED August 8, 2005.

TERRY R. MEANS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $^{^1}$ As a result of amendments to the habeas corpus statutes, a one-year statute of limitations is now applicable to the filing of non-capital § 2254 habeas corpus petitions in federal court. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 2244(d)(1-4)(West Supp. 2005). The statute of limitations is tolled, however, while a properly filed application for state post-conviction or other collateral review is pending. 28 U.S.C.A. § 2244(d)(2)(West Supp. 2005).